

Rule and Interpretive/Policy Statement Review Checklist (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used when the current version of the rule(s) has/have not previously been reviewed. When reviewing an interpretive or policy statement, this document is to be used only if the review of the statement is not in conjunction with the review of a rule.

All responses should be **bolded**.

Document(s) Reviewed (include title): 458-20-165 (Laundry, dry cleaning, linen and uniform supply, and self-service and coin-operated laundry services.)

Date last adopted/issued: November 13, 2002

Reviewer: **Sue Goldstein**

Date review completed: December 29, 2003

Briefly explain the subject matter of the document(s):

This rule explains the B&O and retail sales tax reporting requirements for persons providing laundry, dry cleaning, and laundry pickup and delivery services.

Type an "X" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

1. Public requests for review:

- ٠.			
	YES	NO	
		X Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a public (e.g.,	
			taxpayer or business association) request?

If "yes," provide the name of the taxpayer/business association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request.

2. Need:

YES	NO		
X		Is the document necessary to comply with the statutes that authorize it? (E.g.,	
		s it necessary to comply with or clarify the application of the statutes that are	
		being implemented? Does it provide detailed information not found in the	
		tatutes?)	
	X	s the information provided in the document so obsolete that it is of little	
		value, warranting the repeal or revision of the document?	
	X	Have the laws changed so that the document should be revised or repealed?	
		(If the response is "yes" that the document should be repealed, explain and	
		identify the statutes the rule implemented, and skip to Section 10.)	
X	·	Is the document necessary to protect or safeguard the health, welfare (budget	



levels necessary to provide services to the citizens of the state of
Washington), or safety of Washington's citizens? (If the response is "no", the
recommendation must be to repeal the document.)

Please explain.

Rule 165 discusses the application of the B&O, retail sales, and use taxes to laundries, dry cleaners, laundry pickup and delivery services, self-service laundries and dry cleaners, and linen and uniform supply services. It also discusses the tax treatment of laundry services provided to nonprofit health care facilities and income received from coinoperated laundry facilities.

3. Related interpretive/policy statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:

Complete Subsection (a) only if reviewing a rule. Subsection (b) should be completed only if the subject of the review is an interpretive or policy statement. Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs), Property Tax Advisories and Bulletins (PTAs/PTBs), and Interim Audit Guidelines (IAGs) are considered interpretive and/or policy statements.

(a)

YES	NO		
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements that should be incorporated	
		into this rule? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be	
		completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)	
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements that should be cancelled	
		because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the	
		information is incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review	
Supplement should be completed for each and s		Supplement should be completed for each and submitted with this completed	
form.)		form.)	
	X Are there any Board of Tax Appeals (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or		
		Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) that provide information that should be	
		incorporated into this rule?	
	X	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions	
		(WTDs)) that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?	

(b)

YES	NO		
	NA	Should this interpretive or policy statement be incorporated into a rule?	
	NA	Are there any Board of Tax Appeals (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or	
		Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) that affect the information now provided	
		in this document?	
	NA	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions	
		(WTDs)) that provide information that should be incorporated into the	
		document?	

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions in (a) or (b) above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.



4. Clarity and Effectiveness:

YES	NO		
X		Is the document written and organized in a clear and concise manner?	
X		Are citations to other rules, laws, or other authority accurate? (If no, identify the incorrect citation below and provide the correct citation.)	
X		Is the document providing the result(s) that it was originally designed to achieve? (E.g., does it reduce the need for taxpayers to search multiple rules or statutes to determine their tax-reporting responsibilities or help ensure that the tax law and/or exemptions are consistently applied?)	
	X	Do changes in industry practices warrant repealing or revising this document?	
	X	Do administrative changes within the Department warrant repealing or revising this document?	

Please explain.

The document is written and organized in a clear and concise manner. The document provides the results it was designed to achieve.

There appears to be a missing word in the last sentence of subsection (6)(c). The sentence should read " ... other supplies for resale to customers <u>are</u> (need to add word) separate from charges ..."

5. Intent and Statutory Authority:

intent and Statutory Transcript			
YES	NO		
X		Does the Department have sufficient authority to adopt this document? (Cite	
		the statutory authority in the explanation below.)	
X		Is the document consistent with the legislative intent of the statute(s) that	
		authorize it? (I.e., is the information provided in the document consistent with	
		the statute(s) that it was designed to implement ?) If "no," identify the	
		specific statute and explain below. List all statutes being implemented in	
		Section 9, below.)	
	X	Is there a need to recommend legislative changes to the statute(s) being	
		implemented by this document?	

Please explain.

RCW 82.32.300 and 82.01.060 (1) authorize and direct the Department of Revenue to adopt and publish rules.

6. Coordination: Agencies should consult with and coordinate with other governmental entities that have similar regulatory requirements when it is likely that coordination can reduce duplication and inconsistency.

YES	NO	
	X	Could consultation and coordination with other governmental entities and/or
		state agencies eliminate or reduce duplication and inconsistency?

Please explain.



7. Cost: When responding, consider only the costs imposed by the document being reviewed and not by the statute.

YES	NO		
	X	Have the qualitative and quantitative benefits of the document been	
		considered in relation to its costs? (Answer "yes" only if a Cost Benefit	
		Analysis was completed when the rule was last adopted or revised.)	

Please explain.

This is an interpretive rule that imposes no new or additional administrative burdens on businesses that are not already imposed by law.

8. Fairness: When responding, consider only the impacts imposed by the document being reviewed and not by the statute.

YES	NO		
X		Does the document result in equitable treatment of those required to comply with it?	
	X	Should it be modified to eliminate or minimize any disproportionate impacts on the regulated community?	
	X Should the document be strengthened to provide additional protection to correct any disproportionate impact on any particular segment of the regulated community?		

Please explain.

This document results in the fair and equitable treatment of those required to comply with it.

9. LISTING OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED: Use "bullets" with any lists, and include documents discussed above. Citations to statutes, interpretive or policy statements, and similar documents should include titles. Citations to Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) and court, Board of Tax Appeals (BTA), and Appeals Division (WTD) decisions should be followed by a brief description (i.e., a phrase or sentence) of the pertinent issue(s).

Statute(s) Implemented: To the extent the following apply to the business activities addressed in this rule:

- RCW 82.04.050 ("Sale at retail," "retail sale")
- RCW 82.04.080 ("Gross income of the business")
- RCW 82.04.190 ("Consumer")
- RCW 82.04.220 (Business and occupation tax imposed)
- RCW 82.04.250 (Tax on retailers)
- RCW 82.08.020 (Tax imposed Retail sales Retail car rental)
- RCW 82.08.0202 (Retail sales of linen and uniform supply services)
- RCW 82.12.020 (Use tax imposed)

Interpretive and/or Policy Statements (e.g., ETAs, PTAs, IAGs):



Court Decisions:

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs):

Appeal Division Decisions (WTDs):

Attorney General Opinions (AGOs):

Other Documents (e.g., special notices or Tax Topic articles, statutes or regulations administered by other agencies or government entities, statutes, rules, or other documents that were reviewed but were not specifically relevant to the subject matter of the document being reviewed):

- WAC 458-20-102 (Resale certificates)
- WAC 458-20-159 (Consignees, bailees, factors, agents and auctioneers)
- Special Notice Tax Reporting Changes for Linen and Uniform Supply Services, originally published July 1, 2001 Reissued April 2002



	Amend
	Repeal/Cancel (Appropriate when action is not conditioned upon another rule-making action or issuance of an interpretive or policy statement.)
<u>X</u>	Leave as is (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.)
Depa	Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when the rtment has received a petition to revise a rule.)
-	of recommendation: Provide a brief summary of your recommendation. If g that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the ion is to:
	naccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
•	ate legislation;
	ate information now available in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, and court
decisions); or
	ess issues not otherwise addressed in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, and court
decis	ions).

There is no need to revise this rule at this time. However, when the rule is next revised, the Department may want to incorporate some of the examples in the Special Notice, Tax Reporting Changes for Linen and Uniform Supply Services. Also, when the rule is next revised, the last sentence in subsection (6)(c) should be corrected to include what appears to be a missing word.

11. Manager action:	Date: _1/14/04
AL Review	ved and accepted recommendation
Amendment priority:	
1	
2	
3	
<u></u>	